

650 Town Center Drive, Suite 620  
Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925  
Phone: (714) 708-8555  
Fax (714) 708-8565

**Birch, Stewart,  
Kolasch & Birch, LLP**

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 09 2005

**Fax**

To:	Examiner Paul T. Chin, Group 3652	From:	Thomas M. Small
Fax:	(571) 273-8300	Pages:	3 including cover sheet
Phone:		Date:	September 9, 2005
Your Ref.:	Application No. 10/620,665	Our Ref.:	L8344-01003
Re:	Response to Restriction Requirement	CC:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> Please Comment <input type="checkbox"/> Please Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Please Recycle			

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited. If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by collect call to (714) 708-8555, and send the original transmission to us by return mail at the address above.

• Comments:

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 09 2005

PATENT  
L8344-01003

## IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	KARIMI, Jamshid	Conf.: 8648
Appl. No.:	10/620,665	Group: 3652
Filed:	July 16, 2002	Examiner: CHIN, Paul T.
For:	PET REFUSE TOOL AND METHOD	

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

September 9, 2005

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed August 10, 2005, Applicant hereby elects restriction to the invention of claims 1-29, Group I. These are drawn to a pet refuse tool.

Applicant further elects the species of FIGS. 1-6 and 8-13 for prosecution on the merits. It is respectfully submitted that these should not be regarded as patentably distinct from the species of FIGS. 19A-C, in which the jaws (upper and lower) are differently shaped but similar in function.

Applicant further traverses the requirement for restriction as between the tool and the method claims. The examiner refers to "materially different products such as waste collection devices...." It is respectfully submitted that the invention is a waste collection device and not necessarily different from a "rake" or other tool having a gripping head and used with a bag in the manner defined by the method claims. In fact, it is believed that such a method would not be patentably distinct.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

